

# The Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model with short range ferromagnetic interactions

Francis COMETS <sup>a</sup>, Giambattista GIACOMIN <sup>b</sup>, Joel L. LEBOWITZ <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Mathématiques, case 7012, Université Paris 7, 2, place Jussieu, 75251 Paris cedex 05, France  
E-mail: comets@math.jussieu.fr

<sup>b</sup> Mathématiques, École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland  
E-mail: Giambattista.Giacomin@epfl.ch

<sup>c</sup> Department of mathematics and physics, Hill center, Rutgers University, New Brunswick NJ 08903, USA  
E-mail: lebowitz@math.rutgers.edu

(Reçu le 27 août 1998, accepté le 22 octobre 1998)

---

**Abstract.** We study a model of Ising spins with short range ferromagnetic and long range SK interactions. We generalize the results obtained for the standard SK model, computing in particular the high temperature pressure. © Académie des Sciences/Elsevier, Paris

## Modèle Ising + SK

**Résumé.** Nous étudions un modèle de spins binaires qui est soumis à la fois à des interactions de type Ising et de type Sherrington–Kirkpatrick. Nous généralisons des résultats obtenus dans le cas du modèle SK seul, en calculant en particulier la pression à haute température. © Académie des Sciences/Elsevier, Paris

---

## Version française abrégée

Dans cette Note, nous considérons un modèle d’Ising ferromagnétique perturbé par une interaction de verre de spins de champ moyen sur le réseau carré de dimension  $d$ . L’hamiltonien du système est la somme d’une composante déterministe à courte portée

$$H_N^I(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda_N} K(i-j) \sigma_i \sigma_j, \quad (1)$$

et d’une composante désordonnée à longue portée

$$H_N^{\text{SK}}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\Lambda_N|}} \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda_N} J_{i,j} \sigma_i \sigma_j, \quad (2)$$

---

Note présentée par Michel TALAGRAND.

où  $\Lambda_N = \{-N, \dots, N\}^d$ ,  $\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}^{\Lambda_N}$ ,  $K(\cdot) \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_i K(i) < \infty$  et  $\{J_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  est une famille de variables aléatoires indépendantes de loi gaussienne  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . La fonction de partition du système est donnée par

$$\tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, \beta) = \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}^{\Lambda_N}} \exp \{-\kappa H_N^I(\sigma) - \beta H_N^{SK}(\sigma)\}, \quad (3)$$

avec  $\beta > 0$  et  $\kappa > 0$ . Le cas  $\beta = 0$  correspond au modèle d'Ising habituel à température  $1/\kappa$ , tandis que pour  $\kappa = 0$  on retrouve le modèle SK introduit par Kirkpatrick et Sherrington [6]. Le modèle SK a été étudié rigoureusement lorsque  $\beta < 1$ , d'abord par des techniques de moments et d'expansions [1], puis par calcul stochastique [2] et par des méthodes de concentration [11]. Cette dernière référence traite également le cas bien plus complexe avec champ extérieur. Notre modèle est motivé par les systèmes de verres de spins sujets à la fois à des interactions ferromagnétiques à courte portée homogènes en espace, et des interactions à longue portée fortement oscillantes représentées ici par les couplages aléatoires  $J_{i,j}$ . Quand la portée de ces dernières tend vers l'infini (*asymptotique de Kac*), le système est alors décrit par le modèle (3), comme cela a été remarqué dans [4], Theorem B en l'absence d'interaction courte. Notons que les deux composantes de l'hamiltonien sont concurrents : pour des réalisations typiques des  $J_{i,j}$  les configurations de minimum d'énergie seront des mélanges de spins + et -. Indépendamment des conditions frontières la pression du système est donnée par la limite quand  $N \rightarrow \infty$  de

$$\tilde{p}_N(\kappa, \beta) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, \beta). \quad (4)$$

dont l'existence, non évidente en présence de l'interaction SK, est partie de nos résultats. Le modèle d'Ising sous-jacent joue un rôle important dans la suite ; dans la région d'unicité nous noterons  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_\kappa$  (resp.  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_\kappa$ ) la mesure de Gibbs [5] sur  $\{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  avec interaction de paires  $K$  et température inverse  $\kappa$  [resp., l'intégrale correspondante], en réservant la notation  $\mathbb{P}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{E}$ ) pour les variables  $J$ . Définissons  $\theta_\kappa > 0$  la quantité

$$\theta_\kappa^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} [\mathbf{E}_\kappa(\sigma_0 \sigma_i)]^2, \quad (5)$$

connue dans la littérature sous le nom de *bubble diagram* [3], ainsi que

$$c_\kappa(i) = c(i) = \mathbf{E}_\kappa(\sigma_0 \sigma_i), \quad \chi_\kappa = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c(i), \quad (6)$$

et  $\kappa_c$  la plus petite valeur de  $\kappa$  telle que  $\chi_\kappa = \infty$  ; il est bien connu que  $c(i) \geq 0$  est une fonction croissante de  $\kappa$ . Soit  $D$  le domaine de l'espace des paramètres

$$D = \left\{ (\kappa, \beta); \kappa < \kappa_c, \exists \varepsilon > 0 : \sup_N \mathbf{E}_\kappa^{\otimes 2} \exp \frac{\beta^2 + \varepsilon}{2} X_N(\sigma, \sigma')^2 < \infty \right\},$$

$$X_N(\sigma, \sigma') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Lambda_N|}} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_N} \sigma_i \sigma'_i \quad (7)$$

Sur  $D$  la variable  $\tilde{Z}_N / \mathbb{E} \tilde{Z}_N$  est bornée dans  $L^2$  uniformément en  $N$ . Résumons nos résultats principaux, qui sont énoncés dans le théorème 1 ci-dessous.

- i) Le domaine  $D$  contient  $\{\kappa < \kappa_c, \beta^2 \chi_\kappa < 1\}$ .
- ii) Sur le domaine  $D$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_\kappa[\exp(-\beta H_N^{SK})] / \mathbb{E} \mathbf{E}_\kappa[\exp(-\beta H_N^{SK})]$  converge vers une loi log-normale.
- iii) Si  $(\kappa, \beta) \in \overline{D}$ , la limite  $\tilde{p}(\kappa, \beta)$  quand  $N \rightarrow \infty$  de  $\tilde{p}_N(\kappa, \beta)$  existe  $\mathbb{P}$ -p.s., et elle est donnée par  $\tilde{p}(\kappa, \beta) = p^I(\kappa) + \frac{\beta^2}{4}$ , où  $p^I(\kappa) = \lim_N (|\Lambda_N|)^{-1} \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, 0)$  désigne la pression du modèle d'Ising.

Notre preuve suit l'approche par le calcul stochastique introduite dans [2]. Nous utilisons aussi de façon cruciale les propriétés du modèle d'Ising (avec l'interaction ferromagnétique seule); le champ aléatoire  $(\sigma_i)_i$  est positivement associé (inégalité FKG), et ses moments sont majorés par ceux du champ gaussien possédant les mêmes covariances, d'après un résultat de C. Newman [7].

## 1. Introduction

We consider the Ising ferromagnetic  $d$ -dimensional model, perturbed by a mean field interaction. A spin configuration is an element  $\sigma$  of  $\{-1, +1\}^{\Lambda_N}$ , with  $\Lambda_N = \{-N, \dots, N\}^d$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and the Hamiltonian of the system is given by  $H_N^I(\sigma) + H_N^{SK}(\sigma)$  (cf. (1) and (2)). The partition function is given by (3), in which there are two positive parameters  $\beta$  and  $\kappa$ , which play the role of two *independent* inverse temperatures. If  $\beta = 0$  the model reduces to the Ising model with temperature  $1/\kappa$ , and if  $\kappa = 0$  we are back to the standard SK model [6]. The SK model has been rigorously studied for  $\beta < 1$ , first with expansion techniques [1], and then via a stochastic calculus technique [2] and finally by using concentration inequalities [11]. In [11] the (much more complex) model with an external magnetic field is treated. Our model is motivated by spin glass systems in which there are two different types of interactions: one of short range, ferromagnetic and translation invariant, and the other one of long range type with strongly oscillating (random) couplings  $J_{i,j}$ . When the range of the  $J$ -interaction tends to infinity (Kac asymptotics), the system is described by a model with partition function (3), as remarked in [4], Theorem B for the case *without* short range interactions. Observe that the two terms in the Hamiltonian are competing: for typical realizations of  $J_{i,j}$ , the minimal energy configurations are mixtures of  $\pm 1$  spins. Independently of the boundary conditions, the pressure of the system is given by the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  of (4), the existence of which is part of our result.

The underlying Ising model plays a crucial role: in the uniqueness region we denote by  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_\kappa$  (resp.  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_\kappa$ ) the Gibbs measure [5] on  $\{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  with 2-body interaction  $K$  and inverse temperature  $\kappa$  (resp. the corresponding expectation), and we will use the notation  $\mathbb{P}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{E}$ ) for the random interactions  $J$ . An important role will be played by the so called *bubble diagram* (see e.g. [3]), i.e. the positive quantity  $\theta_\kappa > 0$  defined by (5), as well as the quantities  $c_\kappa$  (the correlations),  $\chi_\kappa$  (the susceptibility), both defined in (6), and  $\kappa_c$ , which is the smallest value of  $\kappa$  such that  $\chi_\kappa = \infty$ . It is well known that  $c_\kappa(i)$  is non-negative and increasing in  $\kappa$ . If  $(\kappa, \beta) \in D$  (cf. (7)) the random variable  $\tilde{Z}_N / \mathbb{E}\tilde{Z}_N$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2$  with respect to  $N$ . We have the following:

- i) The domain  $D$  contains the parameter values  $\{\kappa < \kappa_c, \beta^2 \chi_\kappa < 1\}$ .
- ii) In the domain  $D$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}_\kappa [\exp(-\beta H_N^{SK})]}{\mathbb{E}\mathbf{E}_\kappa [\exp(-\beta H_N^{SK})]} = \exp \left( Y - \frac{1}{2} \phi(\beta^2 \theta_\kappa^2) \right) \quad (1.1)$$

in distribution, with  $Y \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, \phi(\beta^2 \theta_\kappa^2))$  and  $\phi(t) = (1/2) \log(1/(1-t))$ .

- iii) If  $(\kappa, \beta) \in \overline{D}$ , the limit  $\tilde{p}(\kappa, \beta)$  of  $\tilde{p}_N(\kappa, \beta)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  exists  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., and it is given by

$$\tilde{p}(\kappa, \beta) = p^I(\kappa) + \frac{\beta^2}{4}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $p^I(\kappa) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (|\Lambda_N|)^{-1} \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, 0)$  is the pressure of the Ising model.

Our proof follows the stochastic calculus method introduced in [2]. A crucial element in our proofs are the properties of the Ising model with short range ferromagnetic interactions: besides the FKG inequality, we will use the fact that the moments of such an Ising field are bounded by those of a Gaussian field with the same covariances [7].

We observe also that the concentration method [11] gives the statement iii), but it does not identify the limit fluctuation behavior in ii). On the other hand the method in [1], successfully applied to the case  $\kappa = 0$  where the underlying measure is of the product form, seems to be hard to adapt to our case. The computations in Section 4 and Section 5 of [2] still hold and, for example, we have convergence in law of the average energy

$$\mathcal{D}\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{E}_\kappa(-H_N^{SK} \exp(-\beta H_N^{SK}))}{\mathbf{E}_\kappa(\exp(-\beta H_N^{SK}))} - \frac{\beta}{2} |\Lambda_N| \right] = \mathcal{N}(\beta v_\kappa, v_\kappa)$$

under the conditions stated above, with  $v_\kappa = \theta_\kappa^2 / 2(1 - \beta^2 \theta_\kappa^2)^2$ . We have also a similar result on the entropy of the Gibbs measure with random interactions with respect to  $\mathbf{P}_\kappa$ , namely the entropy can be written as  $|\Lambda_N| \beta^2 / 4 + U_N$  and  $U_N$  converges in law to a (non-centered) Gaussian variable.

## 2. Preliminary results

We discuss in this Section some results about products of independent realizations of Ising spins with  $\kappa < \kappa_c$ , which are needed to study the random model later on. We fix such a  $\kappa$  from now on, so we will forget the subscripts  $\kappa$  in our notations.

Since  $\chi = \sum_i c(i) < \infty$  in  $D$  it follows from Newman's Central Limit Theorem for stationary fields of positively associated variables (see Proposition 4 together with Theorem 3 in [8]) that:

**LEMMA 2.1.** – *If  $\eta, \eta', \eta''$  are independent Gaussian variables with common variance  $\theta$ , we have for finite  $\chi$*

$$\mathcal{D}\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (X_N(\sigma, \sigma'), X_N(\sigma, \sigma''), X_N(\sigma', \sigma'')) = (\eta, \eta', \eta''). \quad (2.1)$$

Recall now the Gaussian inequality for the Ising model with ferromagnetic two-body interaction, proven by C. Newman [7], Th. 3:

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** – *Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a collection of centered random variables which are jointly Gaussian under the measure  $\mathcal{P}$  ( $\mathcal{E}$  denotes the expectation), with covariance  $\mathcal{E}(\xi_i \xi_j) = c(i - j)$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Then, for any integer  $k > 0$  and any  $\{i_q\}_{q=1,\dots,2k}$ ,  $i_q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we have that*

$$\mathbf{E}\{\sigma_{i_1} \sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_{2k}}\} \leq \mathcal{E}\{\xi_{i_1} \xi_{i_2} \cdots \xi_{i_{2k}}\}. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1. – i):* We will prove that  $\mathbf{E}^{\otimes 2} \exp(t X_N^2 / 2) \leq \exp \phi(t\chi)$  for  $t\chi < 1$ . For any positive integer  $k$  we have

$$\mathbf{E}^{\otimes 2}\{X_N^{2k}\} = \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|^k} \sum_{i_1 \in \Lambda_N} \cdots \sum_{i_{2k} \in \Lambda_N} \mathbf{E}\{\sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_{2k}}\} \mathbf{E}\{\sigma'_{i_1} \cdots \sigma'_{i_{2k}}\} \leq \mathbf{E}\mathcal{E}\left\{\left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Lambda_N|}} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_N} \sigma_i \xi'_i \right]^{2k}\right\} \quad (2.3)$$

where we have used the first GKS inequality [9]  $\mathbf{E}\{\sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_{2k}}\} \geq 0$ , applied Proposition 2.2 to the  $\sigma'$ -variables on the right-hand side of (2.3) and re-summed the expression. Therefore, expanding the exponential in power series we get, for  $t\chi < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^{\otimes 2}\left(\exp\left(\frac{t}{2} X_N^2\right)\right) &\leq \mathbf{E}\mathcal{E}\left(\exp(t/2) \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Lambda_N|}} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_N} \sigma_i \xi'_i \right]^2\right) \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left(\exp\phi\left(t|\Lambda_N|^{-1} \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda_N} c(i-j) \sigma_i \sigma_j\right)\right) \leq \exp \phi(t\chi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In (2.4) we used the fact that, for all fixed  $\sigma$ ,  $|\Lambda_N|^{-1/2} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_N} \sigma_i \zeta'_i$  has a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance  $|\Lambda_N|^{-1} \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda_N} c(i-j) \sigma_i \sigma_j$  to get the first equality and then that the maximum value of the variance is achieved when  $\sigma_i = 1 \forall i$ , and that  $|\Lambda_N|^{-1} \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda_N} c(i-j) \leq \chi$ .  $\square$

### 3. Martingale embedding and convergence

Following [2] we introduce a collection of independent, standard Brownian motions  $\{B_{i,j}(t)\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , defined on some probability space  $(\Omega, A, \mathbb{P})$ , and we consider the following positive, mean 1 martingales

$$e_N(t, \sigma) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\Lambda_N|}} \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda_N} B_{i,j}(t) \sigma_i \sigma_j - \frac{t}{4} |\Lambda_N| \right\}, \quad Z_N(t) = \mathbf{E}[e_N(t, \sigma)]. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that

$$Z_N(\beta^2) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \frac{\mathbf{E}[\exp(-\beta H_N^{SK})]}{\mathbf{E}[\exp(-\beta H_N^{SK})]}, \quad (3.2)$$

and observe that by definition we have  $\sup_N \mathbf{E}Z_N(t)^2 < \infty$  if  $(\kappa, t^{1/2}) \in D$ . Set  $\mathbf{E}_{N,t}[\cdot] = \mathbf{E}_{N,t,\kappa}[\cdot] = \mathbf{E}[\cdot e_N(t, \sigma)]/Z_N(t)$ : this is the expectation for our system (3). A key lemma (analogue to Lemma 3.1 in [2]) is

**LEMMA 3.1.** – For  $(\kappa, t^{1/2}) \in D$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $\sup_N \mathbf{E}_N^{\otimes 2} \exp \frac{\beta^2 + \varepsilon}{2} X_N(\sigma, \sigma')^2 < \infty$ , we have as  $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$Z_N(t)^2 [\mathbf{E}_{N,t}^{\otimes 2} F(X_N(\sigma, \sigma')) - \mathbf{E}_\eta F(\eta)] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega) \quad (3.3)$$

for any continuous function  $F$  such that  $F(x) = o(\exp(\delta x^2/2))$  at  $x = \pm\infty$  with some  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ . Here  $\eta$  denotes a mean 0 Gaussian variable with variance  $\theta^2/(1-t\theta^2)$  and  $\mathbf{E}_\eta$  the expectation in  $\eta$ .

*Proof.* – The proof follows the line of the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [2], and it reduces to check (3.3) for  $F(x) = G(x) \exp\{-tx^2/2\}$  with  $G$  bounded and such that  $\mathbf{E}_\eta G(\eta) = 0$ . This in turn is implied by the convergence to 0 of  $w_N = \mathbf{E}^{\otimes 3} \{e^{\frac{i}{2} X_N(\sigma', \sigma'')} G(X_N(\sigma, \sigma')) G(X_N(\sigma, \sigma''))\}$ . However, the central limit (Lemma 2.1) and uniform integrability for  $(\kappa, \sqrt{t}) \in D$  – by definition of  $D$  – imply that  $\lim_N w_N = \mathbf{E}_\eta^{\otimes 3} [G(\eta'') G(\eta') \exp(t\eta'^2/2)] = 0$ .  $\square$

Define the logarithmic martingale of  $Z_N$  as the stochastic integral  $M_N(t) = \int_0^t Z_N(s)^{-1} dZ_N(s)$ . This new process is itself a mean zero,  $L^2$  martingale with quadratic variation

$$\langle M_N \rangle(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathbf{E}_{N,s}^{\otimes 2} (X_N(\sigma, \sigma')^2) ds \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.1 with  $F(x) = x^2$  implies that  $V_N(t) = Z_N(t)^2 \frac{d}{dt} [\langle M_N \rangle(t) - \phi(t\theta^2)]$  tends to 0 in  $L^1$  and is bounded in the  $L^1$  norm uniformly for  $N \geq 1$  and  $t$  in compact subsets of  $[0, t_\kappa]$ , where  $t_\kappa := \sup\{t : (\kappa, \sqrt{t}) \in D\}$ . Using the arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [2] it follows that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\langle M_N \rangle(s) - \phi(s\theta^2)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in probability as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

for  $t < t_\kappa$ . Since  $\phi$  is deterministic in this limit, it follows that the sequence  $M_N$  converges to a Gaussian process  $M_\infty$  on the time interval  $[0, t_\kappa]$ . We get finally a process convergence as stated below, which implies ii) in Theorem 1.1.

## F. Comets et al.

**THEOREM 3.2.** – When  $\kappa < \kappa_c$  the sequence of martingales  $M_N$  converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in distribution to the independent increments Gaussian process  $M_\infty$  on  $[0, t_\kappa]$ , with  $M_\infty(0) = 0$ , mean 0 and variance  $\mathbb{E}[M_\infty(t)^2] = \phi(t\theta^2)$ . Also, the random process  $(Z_N(t), t \in [0, t_\kappa])$  converges in law to

$$\exp\{M_\infty(t) - \phi(t\theta^2)/2\}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1, iii).* – Using the assumption  $\sum_i K(i) < \infty$ , standard estimates yields

$$\frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\beta H_N^{SK}}\right) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, \beta) - \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, 0) + o(1), \quad (3.6)$$

with  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $\Omega$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (1/|\Lambda_N|) \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, 0) = p^I(\kappa)$  and that by Theorem 1.1, point ii), and a straightforward annealed computation

$$\mathcal{D}\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, \beta) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, \beta)\right] = \frac{\beta^2}{4} \quad (3.7)$$

when  $(\kappa, \beta) \in D$ . This ensures convergence in probability of the pressure. Almost sure convergence follows from the standard Gaussian concentration inequality (see e.g. [11]):

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, \beta) - \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log \tilde{Z}_N(\kappa, \beta)\right)\right| \geq \delta\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{|\Lambda_N|\delta^2}{\beta^2}\right) \quad (3.8)$$

for  $\delta \geq 0$ . Notice now that  $\{\mathbb{E}((1/|\Lambda_N|) \log \tilde{Z}_N(\cdot, \cdot))\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a family of convex functions, uniformly bounded over compact sets. It is hence a compact family, in the topology of uniform convergence over compact sets and from this we conclude that the result obtained for  $(\kappa, \beta) \in D$  can be extended by continuity to  $\overline{D}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** F. C. is supported in part by CNRS (UPRES-A 7055), G. G. by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Project 20-41'925.94), J.L. L. by DIMACS and its supporting agencies, the NSF under contract STC-91-19999 and the N.J. Commission on Science and Technology. G. G. and J.L. L. thank the IHES (Bures-sur-Yvette, France) for hospitality and support.

## References

- [1] Aizenman M., Lebowitz J.L., Ruelle D., Some rigorous results on the Sherrington–Kirkpatrick spin glass model, Comm. Math. Phys. 112 (1987) 3–20.
- [2] Comets F., Neveu J., The Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model and stochastic calculus: the high temperature case, Comm. Math. Phys. 166 (1995) 549–564.
- [3] Fernandez R., Frölich J., Sokal A.D., Random walk, critical phenomena, and triviality in quantum field theory, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer–Verlag, 1992.
- [4] Frölich J., Zegarlinski B., Some comments on the Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model of spin glasses, Comm. Math. Phys. 112 (1987) 553–566.
- [5] Georgii H.O., Gibbs measures and phase transitions, W.de Gruyter Ed., 1988.
- [6] Kirkpatrick S., Sherrington D., Infinite ranged models of spin glasses, Phys. Rev. B 17 (1978) 4348–4403.
- [7] Newman C., Gaussian correlation inequalities for ferromagnets, Z. Wahrscheinl. verw. Gebiete 33 (1975) 75–93.
- [8] Newman C., A general Central Limit Theorem for FKG systems, Comm. Math. Phys. 91 (1983) 75–80.
- [9] Preston C., Random Fields, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer–Verlag 534 1976.
- [10] Sherrington D., Kirkpatrick S., Solvable model of spin glass, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 (1975) 1792–1796.
- [11] Talagrand M., The Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model. A challenge for mathematicians, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields 110 (1998) 109–176.