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The pair distribution function in a uniform classical fluid is equivalent to the one-body density when one particle is fixed. An implicit relation for this nonuniform density is found by a functional expansion of the difference of chemical potential and external potential about its value for a system of uniform density. A linearization of this expansion, followed by retention of at most, second derivatives of the inhomogeneity, reproduces the Ornstein-Zernicke relations for the asymptotic pair correlation. Linearization alone calls for the sum of internal potential and direct correlation function to vanish asymptotically. This relation is developed for the case of weak long-range forces, resulting in the Debye-Huckel expression for an electron gas, and reproducing the asymptotic correlations of the Kac-Uhlenbeck-Hemmer one-dimensional model. The relation is also shown to follow from the virial expansion for the direct correlation function.

1. INTRODUCTION

THE qualitative properties of the radial distribution function in a uniform classical fluid are still incompletely understood. Whereas the short-range character must depend explicitly upon the interaction potential, presumably in a quite complicated fashion, it has been suggested on numerous occasions that the form of the radial distribution function well outside the range of the potential is essentially universal, with at most a few parameters determined by the potential. Indeed, as a critical point is approached, and random fluctuations predominate, the specific form of the potential might be completely masked in the asymptotic region. There are however only a few instances in which the asymptotic correlation function has been rigorously determined.

It is the aim of this paper to consider the problem of asymptotic correlations from the point of view of local characterizations of nonuniform systems, which we have previously presented. This enables us to discuss the assumptions of the basic Ornstein-Zernicke theory, and to weaken these assumptions, giving rise to a formulation of particular use in the case of weak long-range potentials. A comparison

with the rigorously derived correlations of the Kac-Uhlenbeck model provides support for the essential validity of the approach used.

2. REVIEW OF FORMALISM

A method previously developed for examination of distributions and thermodynamic parameters of nonuniform classical systems will be used here. In this study, it was shown that if

\[ \gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda + \beta \mu - \beta U(\mathbf{r}), \]

\[ \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( 2\pi m kT/\hbar^2 \right), \]

\[ \beta = (kT)^{-1}, \]

for a system with external potential \( U \), chemical potential \( \mu \) in a grand canonical ensemble, then the multiparticle distribution functions are obtainable by successive variational differentiation of the one-body density \( n(\mathbf{r}) \):

\[ \hat{n}_1(\mathbf{r}_1) = n(\mathbf{r}_1) \]

\[ \hat{n}_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = n_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) - n(\mathbf{r}_1)n(\mathbf{r}_2) + n(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \]

\[ = \frac{\delta n(\mathbf{r}_2)}{\delta \gamma(\mathbf{r}_2)} \]

\[ \hat{n}_s(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_s) = \delta \hat{n}_s(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)/\delta \gamma(\mathbf{r}_2), \ldots \]

Here \( \hat{n} \) is the nth Ursell function, in which however the component ordinary distributions are allowed to refer to identical particles:

\[ \hat{n}(\mathbf{r}_1) = n(\mathbf{r}_1), \quad n_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = n(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) + n(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2), \]

\[ n_3(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) = n_3(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) + n(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_3) + \cdots + n(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_3)\delta(\mathbf{r}_3 - \mathbf{r}_4). \]
The principal technique for examining nonuniform systems consisted of transforming from an initial (uniform) \( n_0(r) \) to the final desired \( n(r) \) by a functional Taylor expansion

\[
f[n] = f[n_0] + \int \frac{\delta f[n]}{\delta n(r_1)} n_0(r_1) \, dr_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \int \frac{\delta^2 f[n]}{\delta n(r_1) \delta n(r_2)} n_0(r_1) n_0(r_2) \, dr_1 \, dr_2 + \cdots,
\]

where

\[
\Delta n(r) = n(r) - n_0(r).
\]

The required density derivatives were obtained in terms of known \( \gamma \) derivatives by the chain rule

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta n(r_1)} = \int \frac{\delta \gamma(r_2)}{\delta n(r_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta r_2} \, dr_2.
\]

The quantity \( \frac{\delta \gamma(r_2)}{\delta n(r_1)} \) was written as

\[
\frac{\delta \gamma(r_2)}{\delta n(r_1)} = \frac{\delta (r_1 - r_2)}{n(r_1)} - X(r_1, r_2),
\]

and, as the matrix inverse to (2.26), thereby satisfied

\[
\frac{n_2(r_1, r_2)}{n(r_1)n(r_2)} - 1 = X(r_1, r_2)
\]

\[
+ \int \left[ \frac{n_2(r_1, r_2)}{n(r_1)n(r_2)} - 1 \right] n(r_2) X(r_3, r_2) \, dr_3,
\]

so that \( X \) is to be identified with the generalization to nonuniform systems of the direct correlation function of Ornstein and Zernicke.\(^5\)

3. ASYMPTOTIC FORM OF RADIAL DISTRIBUTIONS

We now make use of our general formalism to investigate some properties of uniform fluids. This will be done by considering the response of the fluid to an external potential \( U(r) \) induced by keeping a fluid particle fixed at \( r = 0 \), i.e., \( U(r) = \varphi(r) \), the intermolecular potential. Then \( n(r) \) becomes the density of particles at \( r \) when it is known that there is a particle at the origin:

\[
n(r) = \rho_0(r)/\rho = \rho + G(r),
\]

where \( \rho \) and \( \rho_0(r) \) are the singlet and pair densities, and \( G(r) \) the normalized radial correlation function in the uniform fluid.

An implicit equation for the density \( G(r) \) can now be obtained by applying (2.3) to \( \gamma(r) \) of (2.1), which is known to within the constant chemical potential \( \mu \). If the uniform comparison system is that at density \( \rho \), then \( \mu \) is unaltered by the potential, and we have, from (2.2)–(2.5), with \( \Delta n(r) = G(r) \),

\[
\gamma(r) - \beta \mu - \lambda = -\beta \varphi(r)
\]

\[
= \int [\rho^{-1} \delta(r - x) - X(r - x)] G(x) \, dx
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int \int \int \tilde{F}_3(x, x_1, x_2)
\]

\[
\times [\rho^{-1} \delta(r_1 - x_1) - X(r_1 - x_1)]
\]

\[
\times [\rho^{-1} \delta(r_2 - x_2) - X(r_2 - x_2)]
\]

\[
\times [\rho^{-1} \delta(r - x) - X(r - x)]
\]

\[
\times G(r_1) G(r_2) \, dr_1 \cdots dx_2 + \cdots.
\]

A superior expansion for many purposes, using a generally smaller expansion parameter, is obtained by taking the uniform comparison system for evaluation of \( \gamma(r) \) as that at the local density \( n(r) \). Then

\[
\Delta n(x) = n(x) - n(r) = G(x) - G(r),
\]

so that

\[
\gamma(x) - \lambda = \beta \mu - \beta \varphi(x) = \beta \mu_0(\rho + G(r)) + \int [n^{-1}(r) \delta(r - x) - X_0(x - x)] [G(x) - G(r)] \, dx
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int \int \int \tilde{F}_3(x, x_1, x_2) [n^{-1}(r) \delta(r - x) - X_0(x - x_1)]
\]

\[
\times [n^{-1}(r) \delta(r_2 - x_2) - X_0(x_2 - x_2)] [G(r_1) - G(r)]
\]

\[
\times [G(r_2) - G(r)] \, dr_1 \cdots dx_2 + \cdots.
\]

where subscript zero indicates that the quantity is to be taken in a uniform system of density \( n(r) = \rho + G(r) \).

To the extent that \( X_0 \) and \( \tilde{F}_3 \) of (3.4) are short range, \( G(x) - G(r) \) may be expanded in a Taylor series about \( r \) within the integrals, and we find

\[
\beta \mu = \beta \varphi(r) + \beta \mu_0(\rho + G) - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{\beta}{\rho + G} \right)^3 \nabla^2 G
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{\beta}{\rho + G} \right)^4 \left( \nabla G \right)^2 + \cdots,\]

where

\[
\Lambda_0^2 = \int x^2 X_0(x) \, dx \int [n^{-1}(r) \delta(x) - X_0(x)] \, dx
\]
\[
\frac{\partial 
abla^2 G_s}{\partial \rho} G_s(r) - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\Lambda^2}{\rho^2 \chi} \nabla^2 G_s(r) = -\varphi(r), \tag{3.7}
\]

where \(G_s\) is the asymptotic value of \(G\). Since \(\varphi(r)\) is the intermolecular potential, it will generally have a short range, i.e., it will vanish in the region in which \(G\) assumes its asymptotic form, and the right-hand side of (3.7) can be set equal to zero. This leads at once to the usual O-Z equation (noting \(\rho \partial \mu / \partial \rho = \partial p / \partial \rho\))

\[
\nabla^2 G_s = \left( \frac{6}{\Lambda^2} \right) G_s. \tag{3.8}
\]

The “advantage” of our analysis over a purely macroscopic derivation of Eq. (3.8) is that we have here an explicit expression for the coefficient of \(\nabla^2 G_s\) in terms of \(G\) (but not necessarily of \(G_s\)), an advantage shared by other treatments as well.

The solution of (3.8) in three dimensions is

\[
G_s = A \rho^{-1} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{6}{\Lambda^2} \right) r \right], \tag{3.9}
\]

and in one dimension, where the factor 6 in (3.5), (3.8) should be replaced by two,

\[
G_s = A \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} \right) r \right]. \tag{3.10}
\]

It is easily verified that the use of \(G_s\) itself for computing \(\Lambda\) in (3.5b) leads to a contradiction which becomes less severe when the integral of \(G_s\), which in this approximation would be proportional to the compressibility, is very large. This is consistent

with the O-Z theory which was developed for the vicinity of the critical point, where the compressibility is indeed very large, (see also Sec. 6 and reference 11).

4. LONG-RANGE POTENTIALS

We may attempt to minimize the assumptions leading to (3.7) by avoiding assumption (b) and supposing only that (3.4) can be linearized in \(G\). This leads directly to the result

\[
-\beta \varphi(r) = \beta \partial \mu(\rho) / \partial \rho G + \int [\rho^{-1} \delta(r - x)] |G(x) - G(r)| \, dx
\]

where the subscript one indicates the result of linearization in \(G\). If one again restricts attention to the asymptotic region, in order to justify retaining only linear terms in \(G\), then, for a short range \(\varphi(r)\), (4.1) implies that \(X(r)\) also vanishes asymptotically. This arises from the fact that in (3.4), it is not sufficient to know \(G_s(r)\) alone even where \(r\) is large, since \(G\) appears in integrals over the whole domain of \(r\). Indeed there may be no general way of separating the asymptotic part of \(G\).

However, when the intermolecular forces are weak everywhere, i.e., when \(\varphi\) is small, then (4.1) does become correct, representing the lowest order in \(\varphi\) in an expansion of \(X\). In fact, a virial expansion of \(X\) starts with the term \(X = e^{-\beta \varphi} - 1\), which is precisely what one obtains by expanding \(\exp[\gamma(r)]\) rather than \(\gamma\) in Eq. (3.2) or (3.4) [see Appendix].

When \(\varphi\) is not only small but also has a finite range, the corresponding \(G\) must also assume the form \(G = -\rho \beta \varphi \sim \rho (e^{-\beta \varphi} - 1)\). It is only when \(\varphi\) has a very large range, i.e. the moments of \(\varphi\) are not small even though \(\varphi\) is, that we get an interesting situation. This can be seen most easily by going over to the Fourier transform of \(X\) and \(G\). We have then from (4.1)

\[
X_k = -\beta \varphi(k), \tag{4.2}
\]

but since from (2.6)

\[
G(k) = \rho X(k) / [1 - \rho X(k)], \tag{4.3}
\]

then correspondingly

\[
G_k = -\beta \rho \varphi(k) / [1 + \beta \rho \varphi(k)]. \tag{4.4}
\]

If \(\varphi(r)\) has a finite range, then \(\varphi(k)\) will be small everywhere and (4.4) can again be expanded to give \(G_k = -\beta \rho \varphi(k)\). However where \(\varphi(r)\) has
a long range, $\varphi(k)$ will be large near $k = 0$, and such an expansion cannot be made.

**The Electron Gas**

An example of the foregoing is the case of an electron gas embedded in a uniform positive background. Here

$$\varphi(r) = (4\pi\epsilon_0)^{-1/2} e/r, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\varphi(k) = \begin{cases} (\epsilon^2/\epsilon_0) 1/k^2 & k \neq 0 \\ 0 & k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Thus

$$G_1(k) = -[1 + (\epsilon_0/\beta\epsilon^2) k^2]^{-1}, \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$G_1(x) = -\rho(\beta\epsilon^2/4\pi\epsilon_0)^{-1} e^{-r/D} = \rho(g - 1),$$

where

$$D = (\epsilon_0/\beta\epsilon^2)^{1/2}, \quad (4.8)$$

and $g$ is the radial distribution function. Equation (4.8) is the well-known Debye–Hückel\(^*\) form of $G$ to lowest order in $\epsilon^2$. As is also well-known, (4.8) does not give a sensible result near $r = 0$, i.e., for $r < (4\pi\epsilon_0/\beta\epsilon^2) = r_0$. This is not surprising since $\varphi(r)$ near the origin is not only not small but is in fact infinite.

The difficulty of infinite $\varphi(r)$ and correspondingly infinite $-\gamma(r)$ can be overcome as previously mentioned if the expansion (3.4) of $\gamma(r)$ is replaced by an expansion of $e^{-\varphi(r)}$. Doing so, (4.4) is replaced by

$$G_1(k) = \rho f(k)/[1 - \rho f(k)],$$

where

$$f(r) = e^{-\beta\varphi(r)} - 1, \quad (4.9)$$

but the anomaly of a negative $g$ is still not elimimated, for the “effective” $\beta\varphi$, while not infinite, does reach unity at the origin. Indeed, (4.8) is expected to be correct at most asymptotically, i.e., for $r \geq D \gg r_0$. Furthermore, in a real system, $\varphi$ is strongly modified near the origin. It is thus more reasonable to consider the case of an intermolecular potential made up of two parts: a strong short-range part and a weak long-range part.

**5. The Kac Model**

An example of a potential with strong short-range and weak long-range parts has recently been studied in detail by Kac, Uhlenbeck, and Hemmer (K–U–H).\(^*\) This one-dimensional model is of great interest because it can be solved rigorously (with great skill and great labor), and it leads to a first-order phase transition, something never before found rigorously. The potential has the form

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_0(x) + \varphi_1(x),$$

$$\varphi_0(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & |x| < \delta \\ 0 & |x| > \delta \end{cases}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\varphi_1(x) = -\alpha_0 \gamma e^{-\gamma x},$$

where $x$ is now a one-dimensional variable and $\gamma \delta \ll 1$. The asymptotic region is defined by $x \geq \gamma^{-1}$ and there is a parameter of smallness $\gamma \delta$. In the limit $\gamma \to 0$, this model exhibits a phase change described by the van der Waals equation:

$$p = \beta^{-1} \rho/(1 - \rho \delta) - \alpha_0 \rho^2$$

$$= \beta^{-1}/(1 - \delta) - \alpha_0/\ell^2. \quad (5.2)$$

If (4.1) is now considered in the asymptotic region, where $G$ and $X$ are small, then $X_1(r)$, which we shall now call $X_*(r)$, has the form

$$X_0(r) = -\beta \varphi_0 = \alpha_0 \beta \gamma e^{-\gamma x} \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$X_0(k) = 2\beta \alpha_0/(1 + \gamma^{-2} k^2). \quad (5.4)$$

It is seen from (5.3) and (5.4) that the even moments of $X_0(r)$ will be of order $(1/\gamma^2)^n$. Stated differently, if we expand $X_0(k)$ in a power series in $k$, we find

$$X_0(k) = 2\beta \alpha_0[1 - (k^2/\gamma^2) + (k^2/\gamma^2)^2 + \cdots]. \quad (5.5)$$

Hence all coefficients in the series (5.5), except for the zeroth, will be very large. The correct $X(k)$ would presumably have a power series expansion whose coefficients, except for the zeroth-order term, are essentially the same as those given in (5.5). This would, for example, be the result of a virial expansion of $X$ (or $G$). (It is a peculiarity of this type of potential that the moments of $G$ or $X$ other than zero, diverge for all densities in the limit $\gamma \to 0$, which may limit the inferences that can be drawn from it.)

For the purpose of finding the asymptotic form of $G$, for $x \geq \gamma^{-1}$, it is thus sufficient to modify

\(^*\) P. Debye and E. Hückel, Z. Physik 24, 185 (1923).
$X_s(k)$ at $k = 0$ to have its correct value there$^{10}$:

$$X(k) = X_s(k) + [X(0) - X_s(0)]$$

$$= 2\beta \rho \alpha_0 / (1 + \gamma^{-2}k^2) + \rho^{-1}s. \quad (5.6)$$

Using (5.6) to find $G(k)$, in order to obtain the asymptotic form of $G_s$, yields

$$G(k) = \rho X(k) / (1 - \rho X(k))$$

$$= \frac{s}{1 - s} + \frac{2\beta \rho \alpha_0}{(1 - s)^{3/2}} \left[ \frac{k^2}{\gamma} + \frac{1 - s - 2\beta \rho \alpha_0}{1 - s} \right]^{-1} \quad (5.7)$$

and this in turn leads to

$$G_s(r) = \frac{s \rho \alpha_0 \gamma}{(1 - s)^2} \left[ \frac{1 - s - 2\beta \rho \alpha_0}{1 - s} \right]^{1/4} \times \exp \left[ -\gamma \left( \frac{1 - s - 2\beta \rho \alpha_0}{1 - s} \right)^{1/4} \right]. \quad (5.8)$$

We now employ Eqs. (3.6) and (5.2) for $X(0)$ and $p$ to find $s$:

$$s = (X(0) - X_s(0))\rho = (1 - \beta dp/d\rho) - 2\beta \rho \alpha_0$$

$$= 1 - (1 - \rho \delta)^{-2} = 1 - \beta dp_\alpha / \delta \rho, \quad (5.9)$$

where $p_\alpha$ is the part of the pressure which comes from the hard core alone. There finally results

$$G_s(r) = \gamma \left( \frac{l - \delta}{l} \right)^4 \beta \alpha_0 \left[ \frac{dp_\alpha}{dl} / \frac{dp}{dl} \right]^{1/4} \times \exp \left[ -\gamma \left( \frac{dp_\alpha}{dl} / \frac{dp}{dl} \right)^{1/4} \right], \quad (5.10)$$

which is precisely the expression obtained by K–U–H, when the system is not too close to the critical point, at which $dp/dl$ vanishes. Had we taken $s$ to be a function of $k$, independent or weakly dependent on $\gamma$, with the correct value for $k = 0$, the exponent and coefficient in (5.10) would have been modified by terms which vanish when $\gamma \to 0$.

We may perhaps understand the region of breakdown near the critical point by considering that in the derivation of (4.1) from (3.4) we have neglected terms of order $G^2$ compared to $(\partial \mu_0(\rho) / \partial \rho) G$. Near the critical point, $dp/dl$ and, hence, $dp_\alpha/\delta \rho$, of course vary as $(l - l_c)^2$, and as $T - T_c$ where $l_c$ and $T_c$ are critical volume and temperature. Since $G \sim$}

$^{10}$ The corresponding modification in the case of the electron gas would yield corrections to $G_s$ of higher order in $e^2$.

$^{11}$ Note added in proof: The ad hoc prescription given here for obtaining $G_s$ from $X_s(0)$ to lowest order in $\gamma$, can be made rigorous and generalized to obtain also higher-order terms in $G_s$ from a limited knowledge of $X$. It is interesting to note from Eq. (5.10) that when $\alpha_0$ is negative, i.e., we have a weak long-range repulsive potential, then, the range of the radial distribution function is smaller than that of the direct correlation function.

6. DISCUSSION

$G_s$ as given in (5.10) is of the same form, exponential, as that given by the O–Z theory for a short-range potential, Eq. (3.10). It is clear, however, that (1) when the O–Z theory, Eq. (3.7), is applied to this potential, it will yield a $G_s$ which is the sum of two exponentials with the wrong exponents and coefficients, and (2) the simple exponential form of (5.10) is here due entirely to the precise form of the assumed long-range part of $\varphi$. This is certainly so if our method of arriving at (5.10) is essentially valid and can be used as well for other forms of $\varphi$. It is easy to construct $\varphi$'s—shallow square-well sum of exponentials—for which $G_s$ would be a sum of exponentials, or decaying oscillatory exponentials, or change from one to the other as a function of density. We may also surmise that the single exponential form is not universal from the fact that (5.10) may be expanded in a virial series the lowest-order term of which $-\rho \beta \varphi_r$ is given correctly by the usual expansion; presumably the higher-order terms also follow correctly. This would not be true if $G_s$ were a single exponential, but $\varphi_r$ were not.$^{12}$

There remains the question of the validity of the linearization of (3.4). If we are interested in the asymptotic region from the outset, we may equally well use (3.2) as our starting point. Combining terms as before, this reduces to

$$-\beta \varphi(r) = X(r) - \frac{1}{2} \iint \delta \varphi(x, x_1, x_2) X(x_1) X(x_2) \times \left[ \frac{\rho^{-1} - \delta(r - x) - X(r - x)}{\delta \varphi(r)} \right] dx \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \, + \ldots, \quad (6.1)$$

and this may profitably be rewritten as

$$-\beta \varphi(r) = X(r) - \frac{1}{2} \iint \left[ \delta \varphi(x_1, x_2) / \delta \varphi(r) \right] \times X(x_1) X(x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \, + \ldots. \quad (6.2)$$

Keeping the Kac–Uhlenbeck model in mind as prototype, it is clear that for large $r$, the regions $x_1 \sim x_2 \sim r; x_1 \sim r, x_2$ far from $r$; and $x_1 \sim r, x_2$ far from $r$ in the second term of (6.2) all contribute
Whereas in section three, \( \rho_1 \) refers to the \( l \)-particle distribution in a uniform system of density \( \rho \). Thus, to lowest order,

\[
-\beta(\phi(r - x_1) + \phi(r - x_2)) = \int [\rho^{-1}(r - x) - X(r - x)] \\
\times \left[ \frac{\rho_1(x_1, x_2)}{\rho_3(x_1, x_2)} - \rho \right] dx + \cdots.
\] (6.4)

After some manipulation, started by expressing \( \rho_3 \) in terms of \( \delta_2 \), Eq. (6.4) may be put in the form

\[
\frac{d\delta_2(x_1, x_2)}{dn(x)} = -\delta_2(x_1 - x_2) \{ X(r - x_1) + \beta\phi(r - x_1) \\
+ X(r - x_2) + \beta\phi(r - x_2) + \rho^{-1}[\delta(r - x_1) \\
+ \delta(r - x_2) + \delta(r - x_1) - \delta(r - x_2)].
\] (6.5)

We see that taking \( Y(y) = -\beta\phi(y) + 0(\gamma^2) \) for \( y \gg \delta \) leads to \( \delta_2(x_1, x_2)/dn(x) \sim 0(\gamma^2) \) for \( r \) far from \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), which in turn makes the second term in (6.2) of \( 0(\gamma^2) \). We have also verified this explicitly for the lowest order in the density.

The virial expansion of \( X(r) \) may actually be used to verify (4.1) directly to lowest order in \( \gamma \). As is well-known, all the clusters appearing in the virial series for \( X \) must be at least doubly connected. In fact, \footnote{M. J. von Leeuwen, J. Groenewald, and J. de Boer, Physica 25, 792 (1959); F. H. Stillinger and F. P. Buff, J. Chem. Phys. 37, 1 (1962).}

\[
X(r_{12}) = f(r_{12}) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\
\times \int S_k(1, 2, \ldots, k + 1) dx_1 \cdots dx_{k+1},
\]

where \( S_k \) is the irreducible cluster sum of the \( f \)'s connecting (at least doubly) \( k + 1 \) particles. It is easy to convince oneself that for \( r_{12} \) large, i.e. of order \( \gamma^{-1} \), all the terms in the sum will be of order \( \gamma^2 \) at least. Thus the term proportional to \( \rho \) is

\[
\int f(r_{12}) \int f(r_{12}) f(r_{12}) dx_1.
\]

For large \( r_{12} \), \( f(r_{12}) \) will be of order \( \gamma \) and so will the integral. In general it is sufficient to look at the ring diagrams for clearly none of the other clusters will give (lower)-order \( \gamma \) contributions. In the term proportional to \( \rho^2 \) there will be a ring diagram which contains \( f(r_{12}) \) and this will behave essentially like the three-particle ring. Similar considerations show that the term

\[
\int f(r_{12}) f(r_{12}) f(r_{12}) f(r_{12}) dx_1 dx_2
\]

is again of order \( \gamma^3 \), for \( r_{12} \) large (we simply have to enumerate all the possibilities: \( r_1, r_2 \) close to \( r_1 \) or \( r_2 \); \( r_1 \) close \( r_2 \), far, etc.) and the same holds for all other ring clusters.\footnote{Note added in proof: Similar results for the lowest \( \gamma \) order in \( X_1 \) were also obtained by E. Helfand and M. Fischer. We have also obtained a very simple expression for the \( \gamma^2 \) term in \( X_1 \) from graphical consideration. This agrees with the second-order term in \( G_2 \) obtained by Uhlenbeck, Kac and Hemmer, first from their integral equation, and later from direct graphical analysis of \( G_2 \).}

The same analysis will also apply to the case where \( \phi_1 \) is the sum of exponentials.\footnote{Note added in proof: Similar results for the lowest \( \gamma \) order in \( X_1 \) were also obtained by E. Helfand and M. Fischer. We have also obtained a very simple expression for the \( \gamma^2 \) term in \( X_1 \) from graphical consideration. This agrees with the second-order term in \( G_2 \) obtained by Uhlenbeck, Kac and Hemmer, first from their integral equation, and later from direct graphical analysis of \( G_2 \).}
the first case we find for

$$n(r) = G(r) + \rho,$$

$$\Delta \gamma(r) = -\beta \psi(r),$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

$$G(r) = -\beta \int \hat{h}_2(r, x) \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \int \hat{h}_2(r, x_1, x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 + \cdots$$

$$= -\beta \rho \psi(r) - \beta \rho \int G(r - x) \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \int \hat{h}_2(r, x_1, x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 + \cdots$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

When $\psi$ is both weak and short range then, to lowest-order $G = \beta \rho \psi$, as discussed in Sec. 4, which results from the first term in (A5). For the electron gas where $\psi$ is assumed weak everywhere but is long range, the first two terms in (A5) would again yield the Debye–Huckel result. For the Kac potential, however, where $\psi(x)$ is long range and in addition is infinite for $|x| < \delta$, (A5) cannot be used at all even for $r$ large. This indicates that we try

expanding $n(r)$ in $\Delta e^{\gamma(r)} = e^{\gamma(r)}$. Writing thus

$$\frac{\delta n(r)}{\delta e^{\gamma(x)}} = e^{-\gamma(x)} \frac{\delta n(r)}{\delta \gamma(x)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

we find

$$G(r) = \int \hat{h}_2(r, x) f(x) \, dx + \frac{1}{2!} \left\{ -\int \hat{h}_2(r, x) f^2(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \int \hat{h}_3(r, x_1, x_2) f(x_1) f(x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \right\} + \cdots$$

$$= \rho f(r) + \rho \int G(r - x) f(x) \, dx$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \rho f^2(r) - \frac{1}{2} \rho \int G(r - x) f^2(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2!} \int \hat{h}_3(r, x_1, x_2) f(x_1) f(x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 + \cdots.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

It is seen from (A7) that, unlike (4.1), the higher terms in the Taylor expansion will contain terms of order $\gamma$, so that terminating the expansion after one or two terms will not yield $G_s$ correctly even to the lowest order in $\gamma$. This agrees with our analysis of Secs. 5 and 6.