

Nonlocal Dissipation

Peter Constantin

Department of Mathematics and PACM
Princeton University

Rutgers, May 13

SQG

Nonlinear
Maximum
Principle

Long time
behavior

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

SQG

Nonlinear
Maximum
Principle

Long time
behavior

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

in \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d .

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

in \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d .

$$R = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$R^\perp = MR$ with M invertible, antisymmetric.

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

in \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d .

$$R = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$R^\perp = MR$ with M invertible, antisymmetric. In $d = 2$, rotation by 90 degrees.

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

in \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d .

$$R = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$R^\perp = MR$ with M invertible, antisymmetric. In $d = 2$, rotation by 90 degrees. Makes u divergence-free.

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

in \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d .

$$R = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$R^\perp = MR$ with M invertible, antisymmetric. In $d = 2$, rotation by 90 degrees. Makes u divergence-free. In Fourier

$$\widehat{R^\perp \theta}(k) = i \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

in \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d .

$$R = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$R^\perp = MR$ with M invertible, antisymmetric. In $d = 2$, rotation by 90 degrees. Makes u divergence-free. In Fourier

$$\widehat{R^\perp \theta}(k) = i \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

SQG– geophysical origin: Charney.

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

in \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d .

$$R = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$R^\perp = MR$ with M invertible, antisymmetric. In $d = 2$, rotation by 90 degrees. Makes u divergence-free. In Fourier

$$\widehat{R^\perp \theta}(k) = i \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

SQG— geophysical origin: Charney. Held, Swanson

SQG

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0$$

Active scalar:

$$u = R^\perp \theta$$

in \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d .

$$R = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$R^\perp = MR$ with M invertible, antisymmetric. In $d = 2$, rotation by 90 degrees. Makes u divergence-free. In Fourier

$$\widehat{R^\perp \theta}(k) = i \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

SQG– geophysical origin: Charney. Held, Swanson
C-Majda-Tabak: analogies to 3D Euler.

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal.

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up = major problem

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up =
major problem

Vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up =
major problem

Vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \omega \cdot \nabla u$$

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up =
major problem

Vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \omega \cdot \nabla u$$

Energy $\int |u|^2 dx$ conserved.

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up = major problem

Vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \omega \cdot \nabla u$$

Energy $\int |u|^2 dx$ conserved. Vortex lines frozen in the flow:

$$[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \omega \cdot \nabla] = 0$$

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up = major problem

Vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \omega \cdot \nabla u$$

Energy $\int |u|^2 dx$ conserved. Vortex lines frozen in the flow:

$$[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \omega \cdot \nabla] = 0$$

$$\omega \sim \nabla u,$$

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up = major problem

Vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \omega \cdot \nabla u$$

Energy $\int |u|^2 dx$ conserved. Vortex lines frozen in the flow:

$$[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \omega \cdot \nabla] = 0$$

$\omega \sim \nabla u$, Stretching term $\omega \cdot \nabla u \Rightarrow$ blow-up ?

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up = major problem

Vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \omega \cdot \nabla u$$

Energy $\int |u|^2 dx$ conserved. Vortex lines frozen in the flow:

$$[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \omega \cdot \nabla] = 0$$

$\omega \sim \nabla u$, Stretching term $\omega \cdot \nabla u \Rightarrow$ blow-up ? Open problem.

Motivation: 3d Euler

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases}$$

vectorial, nonlinear, nonlocal. Global regularity vs. blow up = major problem

Vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \omega \cdot \nabla u$$

Energy $\int |u|^2 dx$ conserved. Vortex lines frozen in the flow:

$$[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \omega \cdot \nabla] = 0$$

$\omega \sim \nabla u$, Stretching term $\omega \cdot \nabla u \Rightarrow$ blow-up ? Open problem. Direction of vorticity locally nice \Rightarrow geometric depletion of nonlinearity.

2D SQG: some analogies with Euler

$\nabla^\perp \theta$ like 3D vorticity.

SQG

Nonlinear
Maximum
Principle

Long time
behavior

2D SQG: some analogies with Euler

$\nabla^\perp \theta$ like 3D vorticity. Levels of theta = lines frozen in the flow:

$$\left[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \nabla^\perp \theta \cdot \nabla \right] = 0$$

2D SQG: some analogies with Euler

$\nabla^\perp \theta$ like 3D vorticity. Levels of theta = lines frozen in the flow:

$$\left[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \nabla^\perp \theta \cdot \nabla \right] = 0$$

Kinetic energy conserved:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |u(x, t)|^2 dx = 0$$

2D SQG: some analogies with Euler

$\nabla^\perp \theta$ like 3D vorticity. Levels of theta = lines frozen in the flow:

$$\left[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \nabla^\perp \theta \cdot \nabla \right] = 0$$

Kinetic energy conserved:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |u(x, t)|^2 dx = 0$$

$$\partial_t(\nabla^\perp \theta) + u \cdot \nabla(\nabla^\perp \theta) = (\nabla u)(\nabla^\perp \theta)$$

2D SQG: some analogies with Euler

$\nabla^\perp \theta$ like 3D vorticity. Levels of theta = lines frozen in the flow:

$$\left[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \nabla^\perp \theta \cdot \nabla \right] = 0$$

Kinetic energy conserved:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |u(x, t)|^2 dx = 0$$

$$\partial_t(\nabla^\perp \theta) + u \cdot \nabla(\nabla^\perp \theta) = (\nabla u)(\nabla^\perp \theta)$$

Same stretching term, $\neq 0$. Blow-up problem, open.

2D SQG: some analogies with Euler

SQG

Nonlinear
Maximum
PrincipleLong time
behavior

$\nabla^\perp \theta$ like 3D vorticity. Levels of theta = lines frozen in the flow:

$$\left[\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla, \nabla^\perp \theta \cdot \nabla \right] = 0$$

Kinetic energy conserved:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |u(x, t)|^2 dx = 0$$

$$\partial_t(\nabla^\perp \theta) + u \cdot \nabla(\nabla^\perp \theta) = (\nabla u)(\nabla^\perp \theta)$$

Same stretching term, $\neq 0$. Blow-up problem, open. Direction of level lines locally nice \Rightarrow depletion of nonlinearity.

Dissipative SQG

QG_s

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

Dissipative SQG

QG_s

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

Dissipative SQG

QG_s

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity. Too easy.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity. Too easy.

$s < 1$.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity. Too easy.

$s < 1$. Supercritical.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity. Too easy.

$s < 1$. Supercritical. Dominated by inviscid, open.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity. Too easy.

$s < 1$. Supercritical. Dominated by inviscid, open. Too difficult.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity. Too easy.

$s < 1$. Supercritical. Dominated by inviscid, open. Too difficult.

$s = 1$.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity. Too easy.

$s < 1$. Supercritical. Dominated by inviscid, open. Too difficult.

$s = 1$. Critical in the sense of Goldilocks: just right.

Dissipative SQG

QGs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^s \theta = 0, \\ u = R^\perp \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In Fourier:

$$\widehat{\Lambda \theta}(k) = |k| \widehat{\theta}(k)$$

$s > 1$. Subcritical. Dissipation dominates, global regularity. Too easy.

$s < 1$. Supercritical. Dominated by inviscid, open. Too difficult.

$s = 1$. Critical in the sense of Goldilocks: just right.
For Burgers: criticality is real.

The song of Λ

$$F(x, t) = (e^{-t\Lambda}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(t, x - y)f(y)dy$$

The song of Λ

$$F(x, t) = (e^{-t\Lambda}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(t, x - y)f(y)dy$$

$P(t, x - y)$ = Poisson kernel.

The song of Λ

$$F(x, t) = (e^{-t\Lambda}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(t, x - y)f(y)dy$$

$P(t, x - y)$ = Poisson kernel.

$$\Lambda f(x) = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$$

where $F(x, t)$ is the harmonic extension:

The song of Λ

$$F(x, t) = (e^{-t\Lambda}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(t, x - y)f(y)dy$$

$P(t, x - y)$ = Poisson kernel.

$$\Lambda f(x) = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$$

where $F(x, t)$ is the harmonic extension: $\Delta F = 0$, for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$,

The song of Λ

$$F(x, t) = (e^{-t\Lambda}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(t, x - y)f(y)dy$$

$P(t, x - y)$ = Poisson kernel.

$$\Lambda f(x) = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$$

where $F(x, t)$ is the harmonic extension: $\Delta F = 0$, for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$, $F(x, 0) = f(x)$.

The song of Λ

$$F(x, t) = (e^{-t\Lambda}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(t, x - y)f(y)dy$$

$P(t, x - y)$ = Poisson kernel.

$$\Lambda f(x) = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$$

where $F(x, t)$ is the harmonic extension: $\Delta F = 0$, for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$, $F(x, 0) = f(x)$. Because $-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is the external normal to $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$, Λ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map.

The song of Λ

$$F(x, t) = (e^{-t\Lambda}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(t, x - y)f(y)dy$$

$P(t, x - y)$ = Poisson kernel.

$$\Lambda f(x) = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$$

where $F(x, t)$ is the harmonic extension: $\Delta F = 0$, for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$, $F(x, 0) = f(x)$. Because $-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is the external normal to $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$, Λ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map.

$$\Lambda f(x) = cP.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy$$

for f smooth.

Critical dissipative SQG

Regularity and uniqueness: with critical dissipation ($s = 1$):
Cordoba-Wu-C = small data.

Critical dissipative SQG

Regularity and uniqueness: with critical dissipation ($s = 1$):
Cordoba-Wu-C = small data. Large data: at least 4 different
methods:

Critical dissipative SQG

Regularity and uniqueness: with critical dissipation ($s = 1$):
Cordoba-Wu-C = small data. Large data: at least 4 different
methods:

1. Kiselev-Nazarov-Volberg: Maximum principle for a modulus of continuity.

Critical dissipative SQG

SQG

Nonlinear Maximum Principle

Long time behavior

Regularity and uniqueness: with critical dissipation ($s = 1$):
Cordoba-Wu-C = small data. Large data: at least 4 different
methods:

1. Kiselev-Nazarov-Volberg: Maximum principle for a modulus
of continuity. adequate $h(r)$ so that

$$|\theta_0(x) - \theta_0(y)| < h(|x - y|) \Rightarrow |\theta(x, t) - \theta(y, t)| < h(|x - y|)$$

Critical dissipative SQG

Regularity and uniqueness: with critical dissipation ($s = 1$):
Cordoba-Wu-C = small data. Large data: at least 4 different
methods:

1. Kiselev-Nazarov-Volberg: Maximum principle for a modulus
of continuity. adequate $h(r)$ so that

$$|\theta_0(x) - \theta_0(y)| < h(|x - y|) \Rightarrow |\theta(x, t) - \theta(y, t)| < h(|x - y|)$$

2. Caffarelli-Vasseur: de Giorgi strategy:

Critical dissipative SQG

SQG

Nonlinear
Maximum
Principle

Long time
behavior

Regularity and uniqueness: with critical dissipation ($s = 1$):
Cordoba-Wu-C = small data. Large data: at least 4 different methods:

1. Kiselev-Nazarov-Volberg: Maximum principle for a modulus of continuity. adequate $h(r)$ so that

$$|\theta_0(x) - \theta_0(y)| < h(|x - y|) \Rightarrow |\theta(x, t) - \theta(y, t)| < h(|x - y|)$$

2. Caffarelli-Vasseur: de Giorgi strategy: from L^2 to L^∞ , from L^∞ to C^α , from C^α to C^∞ .

Critical dissipative SQG

Regularity and uniqueness: with critical dissipation ($s = 1$):
Cordoba-Wu-C = small data. Large data: at least 4 different methods:

1. Kiselev-Nazarov-Volberg: Maximum principle for a modulus of continuity. adequate $h(r)$ so that

$$|\theta_0(x) - \theta_0(y)| < h(|x - y|) \Rightarrow |\theta(x, t) - \theta(y, t)| < h(|x - y|)$$

2. Caffarelli-Vasseur: de Giorgi strategy: from L^2 to L^∞ , from L^∞ to C^α , from C^α to C^∞ .
3. Kiselev-Nazarov: duality method, co-evolving molecules.

Critical dissipative SQG

SQG

Nonlinear
Maximum
Principle

Long time
behavior

Regularity and uniqueness: with critical dissipation ($s = 1$):
Cordoba-Wu-C = small data. Large data: at least 4 different methods:

1. Kiselev-Nazarov-Volberg: Maximum principle for a modulus of continuity. adequate $h(r)$ so that

$$|\theta_0(x) - \theta_0(y)| < h(|x - y|) \Rightarrow |\theta(x, t) - \theta(y, t)| < h(|x - y|)$$

2. Caffarelli-Vasseur: de Giorgi strategy: from L^2 to L^∞ , from L^∞ to C^α , from C^α to C^∞ .

3. Kiselev-Nazarov: duality method, co-evolving molecules.

4. C-Vicol: nonlinear maximum principle, stability of the “only small shocks” condition.

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$.

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$. There exists a constant c , depending on dimension d of space so that

$$(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq c \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}$$

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$.

There exists a constant c , depending on dimension d of space so that

$$(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq c \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}$$

This was done with V. Vicol.

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$.

There exists a constant c , depending on dimension d of space so that

$$(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq c \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}$$

This was done with V. Vicol. Cordoba-Cordoba max principle:

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$.

There exists a constant c , depending on dimension d of space so that

$$(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq c \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}$$

This was done with V. Vicol. Cordoba-Cordoba max principle:
 $(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq 0$.

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$.

There exists a constant c , depending on dimension d of space so that

$$(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq c \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}$$

This was done with V. Vicol. Cordoba-Cordoba max principle:
 $(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq 0$.

$$(\Lambda^s g)(\bar{x}) \geq c_s \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1+s}}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

Inequality is dimensionally correct.

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$.

There exists a constant c , depending on dimension d of space so that

$$(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq c \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}$$

This was done with V. Vicol. Cordoba-Cordoba max principle:
 $(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq 0$.

$$(\Lambda^s g)(\bar{x}) \geq c_s \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1+s}}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

Inequality is dimensionally correct. $0 < s < 2$,

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$. There exists a constant c , depending on dimension d of space so that

$$(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq c \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}$$

This was done with V. Vicol. Cordoba-Cordoba max principle:
 $(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq 0$.

$$(\Lambda^s g)(\bar{x}) \geq c_s \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1+s}}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

Inequality is dimensionally correct. $0 < s < 2$, $c_s \rightarrow 0$ when $s \rightarrow 2$.

Nonlinear maximum principle for linear nonlocal operators

Let θ be a real smooth function, and let $g = \partial\theta$ a derivative of θ . Let \bar{x} be a point where g attains its maximum, $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$. There exists a constant c , depending on dimension d of space so that

$$(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq c \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}$$

This was done with V. Vicol. Cordoba-Cordoba max principle:
 $(\Lambda g)(\bar{x}) \geq 0$.

$$(\Lambda^s g)(\bar{x}) \geq c_s \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1+s}}{\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

Inequality is dimensionally correct. $0 < s < 2$, $c_s \rightarrow 0$ when $s \rightarrow 2$. Inequality is false for the Laplacian.

Nonlocal
Dissipation

Peter
Constantin

SQG

Nonlinear
Maximum
Principle

Long time
behavior

Variants

Variants

Based on C^α :

Variants

Based on C^α : Let $0 < s < 2$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. There exists a constant $c = c(d, s, \alpha)$ such that

Variants

Based on C^α : Let $0 < s < 2$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. There exists a constant $c = c(d, s, \alpha)$ such that

$$\Lambda^s g(\bar{x}) \geq \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1 + \frac{s}{1-\alpha}}}{c \|f\|_{C^\alpha}^{\frac{s}{1-\alpha}}}$$

Variants

Based on C^α : Let $0 < s < 2$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. There exists a constant $c = c(d, s, \alpha)$ such that

$$\Lambda^s g(\bar{x}) \geq \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1 + \frac{s}{1-\alpha}}}{c \|f\|_{C^\alpha}^{\frac{s}{1-\alpha}}}$$

Based on L^p :

Variants

Based on C^α : Let $0 < s < 2$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. There exists a constant $c = c(d, s, \alpha)$ such that

$$\Lambda^s g(\bar{x}) \geq \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1 + \frac{s}{1-\alpha}}}{c \|f\|_{C^\alpha}^{\frac{s}{1-\alpha}}}$$

Based on L^p : Let $0 < s < 2$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. There exists a constant $c = c(d, s, p)$ such that

Variants

Based on C^α : Let $0 < s < 2$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. There exists a constant $c = c(d, s, \alpha)$ such that

$$\Lambda^s g(\bar{x}) \geq \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1 + \frac{s}{1-\alpha}}}{c \|f\|_{C^\alpha}^{\frac{s}{1-\alpha}}}$$

Based on L^p : Let $0 < s < 2$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. There exists a constant $c = c(d, s, p)$ such that

$$\Lambda^s g(\bar{x}) \geq \frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1 + \frac{sp}{d+p}}}{c \|f\|_{L^p}^{\frac{sp}{d+p}}}$$

Quadratic pointwise variant

Let $0 < s < 2$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Quadratic pointwise variant

Let $0 < s < 2$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$2g(x)\Lambda^s g(x) = (\Lambda^s(g^2))(x) + D(g)(x)$$

Quadratic pointwise variant

Let $0 < s < 2$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$2g(x)\Lambda^s g(x) = (\Lambda^s(g^2))(x) + D(g)(x)$$

where

Quadratic pointwise variant

Let $0 < s < 2$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$2g(x)\Lambda^s g(x) = (\Lambda^s(g^2))(x) + D(g)(x)$$

where

$$D(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(g(x) - g(y))^2}{|x - y|^{d+s}} dy$$

Quadratic pointwise variant

Let $0 < s < 2$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$2g(x)\Lambda^s g(x) = (\Lambda^s(g^2))(x) + D(g)(x)$$

where

$$D(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(g(x) - g(y))^2}{|x - y|^{d+s}} dy$$

In particular,

Quadratic pointwise variant

Let $0 < s < 2$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$2g(x)\Lambda^s g(x) = (\Lambda^s(g^2))(x) + D(g)(x)$$

where

$$D(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(g(x) - g(y))^2}{|x - y|^{d+s}} dy$$

In particular,

$$2\nabla f(x) \cdot (\Lambda^s \nabla f)(x) = (\Lambda^s |\nabla f|^2)(x) + D(\nabla f)(x)$$

Quadratic pointwise variant

Let $0 < s < 2$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$2g(x)\Lambda^s g(x) = (\Lambda^s(g^2))(x) + D(g)(x)$$

where

$$D(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(g(x) - g(y))^2}{|x - y|^{d+s}} dy$$

In particular,

$$2\nabla f(x) \cdot (\Lambda^s \nabla f)(x) = (\Lambda^s |\nabla f|^2)(x) + D(\nabla f)(x)$$

where

Quadratic pointwise variant

Let $0 < s < 2$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$2g(x)\Lambda^s g(x) = (\Lambda^s(g^2))(x) + D(g)(x)$$

where

$$D(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(g(x) - g(y))^2}{|x - y|^{d+s}} dy$$

In particular,

$$2\nabla f(x) \cdot (\Lambda^s \nabla f)(x) = (\Lambda^s |\nabla f|^2)(x) + D(\nabla f)(x)$$

where

$$D(\nabla f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+s}} dy$$

Lower bound for quadratic expression

Let $0 < s < 2$. There exists $c_{s,d} > 0$ such that

Lower bound for quadratic expression

Let $0 < s < 2$. There exists $c_{s,d} > 0$ such that

$$2\nabla f(x) \cdot (\Lambda^s \nabla f)(x) \geq (\Lambda^s (|\nabla f|^2))(x) + \frac{|\nabla f(x)|^{2+s}}{c \|f\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

Lower bound for quadratic expression

Let $0 < s < 2$. There exists $c_{s,d} > 0$ such that

$$2\nabla f(x) \cdot (\Lambda^s \nabla f)(x) \geq (\Lambda^s (|\nabla f|^2))(x) + \frac{|\nabla f(x)|^{2+s}}{c \|f\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

holds pointwise, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lower bound for quadratic expression

Let $0 < s < 2$. There exists $c_{s,d} > 0$ such that

$$2\nabla f(x) \cdot (\Lambda^s \nabla f)(x) \geq (\Lambda^s (|\nabla f|^2))(x) + \frac{|\nabla f(x)|^{2+s}}{c \|f\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

holds pointwise, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark More general operators are allowed:

$$Lf(x) = P.V. \int K(x-y)(f(x) - f(y))dy$$

Lower bound for quadratic expression

Let $0 < s < 2$. There exists $c_{s,d} > 0$ such that

$$2\nabla f(x) \cdot (\Lambda^s \nabla f)(x) \geq (\Lambda^s (|\nabla f|^2))(x) + \frac{|\nabla f(x)|^{2+s}}{c \|f\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

holds pointwise, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark More general operators are allowed:

$$Lf(x) = P.V. \int K(x-y)(f(x) - f(y))dy$$

with $K \geq 0$, $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$,

Lower bound for quadratic expression

Let $0 < s < 2$. There exists $c_{s,d} > 0$ such that

$$2\nabla f(x) \cdot (\Lambda^s \nabla f)(x) \geq (\Lambda^s (|\nabla f|)^2)(x) + \frac{|\nabla f(x)|^{2+s}}{c \|f\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

holds pointwise, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark More general operators are allowed:

$$Lf(x) = P.V. \int K(x-y)(f(x) - f(y))dy$$

with $K \geq 0$, $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$,

$$\int_{|z|<1} K(z)dz = \infty, \quad \int_{|z|>1} (|K(z)| + |\nabla K(z)|) dz < \infty$$

Quadratic finite difference variant

$$(\delta_h f)(x) = f(x + h) - f(x)$$

Quadratic finite difference variant

$$(\delta_h f)(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$$

Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < s < 2$, $h \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Quadratic finite difference variant

$$(\delta_h f)(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$$

Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < s < 2$, $h \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$2(\delta_h f(x))(\Lambda^s \delta_h f)(x) = (\Lambda^s |\delta_h f|^2)(x) + D_h(x)$$

Quadratic finite difference variant

$$(\delta_h f)(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$$

Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < s < 2$, $h \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$2(\delta_h f(x))(\Lambda^s \delta_h f)(x) = (\Lambda^s |\delta_h f|^2)(x) + D_h(x)$$

with

$$D_h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\delta_h f(x) - \delta_h f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+s}} dy$$

Quadratic finite difference variant

$$(\delta_h f)(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$$

Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < s < 2$, $h \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$2(\delta_h f(x))(\Lambda^s \delta_h f)(x) = (\Lambda^s |\delta_h f|^2)(x) + D_h(x)$$

with

$$D_h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\delta_h f(x) - \delta_h f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+s}} dy$$

There exists a constant $c = c(d, s) > 0$ such that

$$D_h(x) \geq \frac{|\delta_h f|^{2+s}}{c|h|^s \|f\|_{L^\infty}^s}$$

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 ,

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 , with $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$,

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \left\{ \theta \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta dx = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 , with $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$,

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \left\{ \theta \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta dx = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

$$f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty.$$

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 , with $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$,

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \left\{ \theta \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta dx = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

$f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $S(t)\theta_0$ denote the solution.

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 , with $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$,

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \left\{ \theta \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta dx = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

$f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $S(t)\theta_0$ denote the solution.

Theorem

(C, Tarfulea, Vicol, preliminary result '13). $\exists! X \subset \dot{H}^1$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{\dot{H}^1}(S(t)\theta_0, X) = 0,$$

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 , with $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$,

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \left\{ \theta \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta dx = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

$f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $S(t)\theta_0$ denote the solution.

Theorem

(C, Tarfulea, Vicol, preliminary result '13). $\exists! X \subset \dot{H}^1$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{\dot{H}^1}(S(t)\theta_0, X) = 0,$$

uniform for θ_0 in bounded sets,

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 , with $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$,

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \left\{ \theta \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta dx = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

$f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $S(t)\theta_0$ denote the solution.

Theorem

(C, Tarfulea, Vicol, preliminary result '13). $\exists! X \subset \dot{H}^1$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{\dot{H}^1}(S(t)\theta_0, X) = 0,$$

uniform for θ_0 in bounded sets, X invariant $S(t)X = X$,

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 , with $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$,

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \left\{ \theta \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta dx = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

$f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $S(t)\theta_0$ denote the solution.

Theorem

(C, Tarfulea, Vicol, preliminary result '13). $\exists! X \subset \dot{H}^1$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{\dot{H}^1}(S(t)\theta_0, X) = 0,$$

uniform for θ_0 in bounded sets, X invariant $S(t)X = X$,
compact, as nice (C^∞) as forces permit, and

Forced Critical SQG

SQG+f

$$\partial_t \theta + (R^\perp \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = f$$

in \mathbb{T}^2 , with $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$,

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \left\{ \theta \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta dx = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

$f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $S(t)\theta_0$ denote the solution.

Theorem

(C, Tarfulea, Vicol, preliminary result '13). $\exists! X \subset \dot{H}^1$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{\dot{H}^1}(S(t)\theta_0, X) = 0,$$

uniform for θ_0 in bounded sets, X invariant $S(t)X = X$,
compact, as nice (C^∞) as forces permit, and $d_F(X) < \infty$.

Properties of Solutions

Short time existence proof guarantees that solutions which start in $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$ become $S(t_0)\theta_0 \in C^\alpha \cap \dot{H}^1$ instantly ($t_0 > 0$, size depends badly on t_0) for small $\alpha > 0$.

Properties of Solutions

Short time existence proof guarantees that solutions which start in $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$ become $S(t_0)\theta_0 \in C^\alpha \cap \dot{H}^1$ instantly ($t_0 > 0$, size depends badly on t_0) for small $\alpha > 0$. So, WLOG, $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1 \cap C^\alpha$.

Properties of Solutions

Short time existence proof guarantees that solutions which start in $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$ become $S(t_0)\theta_0 \in C^\alpha \cap \dot{H}^1$ instantly ($t_0 > 0$, size depends badly on t_0) for small $\alpha > 0$. So, WLOG, $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1 \cap C^\alpha$. The trouble with all previous proofs is that they “remembered” the size of the initial data.

Properties of Solutions

Short time existence proof guarantees that solutions which start in $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1$ become $S(t_0)\theta_0 \in C^\alpha \cap \dot{H}^1$ instantly ($t_0 > 0$, size depends badly on t_0) for small $\alpha > 0$. So, WLOG, $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1 \cap C^\alpha$. The trouble with all previous proofs is that they “remembered” the size of the initial data.

Lemma

(CTV '13) Let $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$. There exists $\alpha = \alpha(f) \in (0, 1)$ and constants $C_\infty = C_\infty(f)$ and $C_\alpha = C_\alpha(f)$ depending only on $\|f\|_{H^1} + \|f\|_{L^\infty}$ such that

$$\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_\infty,$$

and

$$\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha$$

holds for all $t \geq \tau$, with $\tau = \tau(\theta_0, f)$ bounded on bounded sets of initial data in \dot{H}^1 .

Ideas of Proofs: L^∞

We have to work in periodic setting, lots of technical headaches having to do with periodic extensions and Poisson summation. Thankfully, Calderon and Zygmund already cleared the way.

Ideas of Proofs: L^∞

We have to work in periodic setting, lots of technical headaches having to do with periodic extensions and Poisson summation. Thankfully, Calderon and Zygmund already cleared the way. First, we deal with L^∞ .

Ideas of Proofs: L^∞

We have to work in periodic setting, lots of technical headaches having to do with periodic extensions and Poisson summation. Thankfully, Calderon and Zygmund already cleared the way. First, we deal with L^∞ .

Lemma

(C-Glatt-Holtz-Vicol) Let θ have mean zero, let $p \geq 2$ be even. There exists a p -independent constant C so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta^{p-1} \Lambda \theta dx \geq \frac{1}{p} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta^{\frac{p}{2}})\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta\|_{L^p}^p$$

Ideas of Proofs: L^∞

We have to work in periodic setting, lots of technical headaches having to do with periodic extensions and Poisson summation. Thankfully, Calderon and Zygmund already cleared the way. First, we deal with L^∞ .

Lemma

(C-Glatt-Holtz-Vicol) Let θ have mean zero, let $p \geq 2$ be even. There exists a p -independent constant C so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta^{p-1} \Lambda \theta dx \geq \frac{1}{p} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta^{\frac{p}{2}})\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta\|_{L^p}^p$$

and consequently

Lemma

$$\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^p} e^{-ct} + \frac{1}{c} (1 - e^{-ct}) \|f\|_{L^p}$$

Ideas of Proofs: L^∞

We have to work in periodic setting, lots of technical headaches having to do with periodic extensions and Poisson summation. Thankfully, Calderon and Zygmund already cleared the way. First, we deal with L^∞ .

Lemma

(C-Glatt-Holtz-Vicol) Let θ have mean zero, let $p \geq 2$ be even. There exists a p -independent constant C so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \theta^{p-1} \Lambda \theta dx \geq \frac{1}{p} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta^{\frac{p}{2}})\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta\|_{L^p}^p$$

and consequently

Lemma

$$\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^p} e^{-ct} + \frac{1}{c} (1 - e^{-ct}) \|f\|_{L^p}$$

$1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $c = p$ -independent.

Ideas of Proofs: C^α

By previous, if we wait a while, the bound on $\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on f .

Ideas of Proofs: C^α

By previous, if we wait a while, the bound on $\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on f . Equation for displacements

$$\delta_h \theta = \theta(x+h, t) - \theta(x, t):$$

$$L\delta_h \theta = \delta_h f$$

Ideas of Proofs: C^α

By previous, if we wait a while, the bound on $\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on f . Equation for displacements

$$\delta_h \theta = \theta(x + h, t) - \theta(x, t):$$

$$L\delta_h \theta = \delta_h f$$

where

$$L = (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + \Lambda_x)$$

has a weak maximum principle in (x, h) (does not add size).

Ideas of Proofs: C^α

By previous, if we wait a while, the bound on $\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on f . Equation for displacements

$$\delta_h \theta = \theta(x+h, t) - \theta(x, t):$$

$$L\delta_h \theta = \delta_h f$$

where

$$L = (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + \Lambda_x)$$

has a weak maximum principle in (x, h) (does not add size).
Multiply by $2|h|^{-2\alpha}\delta_h \theta$, use the nonlinear max principle in the finite difference variant:

$$\begin{aligned} & L(|\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha}) + \frac{|\delta_h \theta|^3}{c \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}} |h|^{-1-2\alpha} \\ & \leq 4\alpha |\delta_h u| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-1-2\alpha} + 2 |\delta_h f| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Ideas of Proofs: C^α

By previous, if we wait a while, the bound on $\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on f . Equation for displacements

$$\delta_h \theta = \theta(x + h, t) - \theta(x, t):$$

$$L\delta_h \theta = \delta_h f$$

where

$$L = (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + \Lambda_x)$$

has a weak maximum principle in (x, h) (does not add size).
Multiply by $2|h|^{-2\alpha}\delta_h \theta$, use the nonlinear max principle in the finite difference variant:

$$\begin{aligned} & L(|\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha}) + \frac{|\delta_h \theta|^3}{c \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}} |h|^{-1-2\alpha} \\ & \leq 4\alpha |\delta_h u| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-1-2\alpha} + 2 |\delta_h f| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$$u \sim \theta,$$

Ideas of Proofs: C^α

By previous, if we wait a while, the bound on $\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on f . Equation for displacements

$$\delta_h \theta = \theta(x + h, t) - \theta(x, t):$$

$$L\delta_h \theta = \delta_h f$$

where

$$L = (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + \Lambda_x)$$

has a weak maximum principle in (x, h) (does not add size).
Multiply by $2|h|^{-2\alpha}\delta_h \theta$, use the nonlinear max principle in the finite difference variant:

$$\begin{aligned} & L(|\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha}) + \frac{|\delta_h \theta|^3}{c \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}} |h|^{-1-2\alpha} \\ & \leq 4\alpha |\delta_h u| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-1-2\alpha} + 2 |\delta_h f| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$u \sim \theta$, α small.

Ideas of Proofs: C^α

By previous, if we wait a while, the bound on $\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on f . Equation for displacements

$$\delta_h \theta = \theta(x + h, t) - \theta(x, t):$$

$$L\delta_h \theta = \delta_h f$$

where

$$L = (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + \Lambda_x)$$

has a weak maximum principle in (x, h) (does not add size).
Multiply by $2|h|^{-2\alpha}\delta_h \theta$, use the nonlinear max principle in the finite difference variant:

$$\begin{aligned} & L(|\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha}) + \frac{|\delta_h \theta|^3}{c\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}} |h|^{-1-2\alpha} \\ & \leq 4\alpha |\delta_h u| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-1-2\alpha} + 2|\delta_h f| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$u \sim \theta$, α small. Hide bad cubic term.

Ideas of Proofs: C^α

By previous, if we wait a while, the bound on $\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on f . Equation for displacements

$$\delta_h \theta = \theta(x+h, t) - \theta(x, t):$$

$$L\delta_h \theta = \delta_h f$$

where

$$L = (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + \Lambda_x)$$

has a weak maximum principle in (x, h) (does not add size).
Multiply by $2|h|^{-2\alpha}\delta_h \theta$, use the nonlinear max principle in the finite difference variant:

$$\begin{aligned} & L(|\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha}) + \frac{|\delta_h \theta|^3}{c\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}} |h|^{-1-2\alpha} \\ & \leq 4\alpha |\delta_h u| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-1-2\alpha} + 2|\delta_h f| |\delta_h \theta|^2 |h|^{-2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$u \sim \theta$, α small. Hide bad cubic term. Obtain ODE inequality for maximum.

Ideas of Proofs: C^α , continued

Lemma

Let $f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $\theta_0 \in L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$. There exist $\alpha > 0$, C_α , depending only on $\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$, such that

$$\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha$$

for all $t \geq \tau$, with $\tau > 0$ bounded uniformly on bounded sets of initial data.

Ideas of Proofs: C^α , continued

Lemma

Let $f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $\theta_0 \in L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$. There exist $\alpha > 0$, C_α , depending only on $\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$, such that

$$\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha$$

for all $t \geq \tau$, with $\tau > 0$ bounded uniformly on bounded sets of initial data.

This is a **new** proof of regularity, using the nonlinear maximum principle and obtaining directly the De Giorgi improvement.

Ideas of Proofs: C^α , continued

Lemma

Let $f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $\theta_0 \in L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$. There exist $\alpha > 0$, C_α , depending only on $\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$, such that

$$\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha$$

for all $t \geq \tau$, with $\tau > 0$ bounded uniformly on bounded sets of initial data.

This is a **new** proof of regularity, using the nonlinear maximum principle and obtaining directly the De Giorgi improvement. Once the uniform C^α bound is obtained, it is possible to obtain uniform bounds for higher regularity, in particular

$$T^{-1} \int_\tau^{T+\tau} \|S(t)\theta_0\|_{H^1}^2 dt \leq C$$

and backward uniqueness (injectivity of $S(t)$).

Ideas of Proofs: C^α , continued

Lemma

Let $f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^\infty$. Let $\theta_0 \in L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$. There exist $\alpha > 0$, C_α , depending only on $\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$, such that

$$\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha$$

for all $t \geq \tau$, with $\tau > 0$ bounded uniformly on bounded sets of initial data.

This is a **new** proof of regularity, using the nonlinear maximum principle and obtaining directly the De Giorgi improvement. Once the uniform C^α bound is obtained, it is possible to obtain uniform bounds for higher regularity, in particular

$$T^{-1} \int_{\tau}^{T+\tau} \|S(t)\theta_0\|_{H^1}^2 dt \leq C$$

and backward uniqueness (injectivity of $S(t)$). These are used then to prove existence of the universal attractor and its finite dimensionality.